RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 0 1 2006



## HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.

AN INTERNATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW FIRM

FAX TRANSMISSION

August 1, 2006

TO:

Mail Stop: AF

Examiner: K. Huyuh

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FROM: Douglas P. Mueller

OUR REF: 10873.0744US01

TELEPHONE: (612) 455.3800

Total pages, including cover letter:

PTO FAX NUMBER: 571.273.8300

If all pages are NOT received, please call us at 612.455.3800 or fax us at 612.455.3801.

3

Title of Document:

Communication Requesting Correction to Examiner's Amendment

Applicant:

KAWAGUCHI

Scrial No.:

09/897,574

App. Filed:

July 2, 2001

Group Art No.: 2112 Confirmation No.: 1221

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-5478. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate.

Name: Douglas P. Mueller

Reg. No.: 30,300

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Peggy J. Kerkhove

August 1, 2006

Date

## AUG 0 1 2006

S/N 09/897,574

**PATENT** 

02/03

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Kawaguchi

Examiner:

K. Huynh

Serial No.:

09/897,574

Group Art Unit:

2112

Filed:

July 2, 2001

Docket No.:

10873.0744US01

Title:

DATA TRANSFER APPARATUS AND DATA TRANSFER METHOD

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.6(d):

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on

August 1, 2006.

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## COMMUNICATION REQUESTING CORRECTION TO EXAMINER'S **AMENDMENT**

Dear Sir:

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the issuance of the July 11, 2006 allowance papers for this application. Applicant also appreciates the Examiner's effort in remailing the Examiner's Amendment on July 25, 2006; the Examiner's Amendment apparently was inadvertently omitted from the original allowance papers. In reviewing the Examiner's Amendment, the undersigned has found that the revisions noted therein are not in complete accordance with revisions discussed with the Examiner by telephone. While the cancellation of claims 6-14 is in accordance with the undersigned's record, there appears to have been a clerical error in the requested clarification of claim 1. The clarification was to move a portion of line 2 of the previous version of claim 1 to line 3 of the claim, while the Examiner's Amendment inadvertently moved the entirety of line 2 to line 3. Thus claim 1 actually was revised to read as follows.